

ml

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,633	09/22/2003	Christopher Cave	1-2-0390.1US	1103	
24374 VOLDE AND	24374 7590 01/25/2007 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.		EXAMINER		
DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			LAM, DUNG LE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103		2617			
				ş 4, j	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
2 MONTUS		01/25/2007	DAI	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	•					
. =		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/667,633	CAVE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dung Lam	2617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHI THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/1	<u>9/06</u> .	·			
, —	•	s action is non-final.				
3)						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>45-88</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>45-88</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject.	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	repted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to: See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Is have been received in Application Frity documents have been receive In (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Information	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims **45**, **59**, **66**, **67** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Blakeney et al. (US Patent No. 5267261).

- 1. Regarding claim **45**, **Blakeney** teaches a in a radio network having a plurality of base stations, each providing wireless communication services for mobile units in a respective geographic coverage area that may or may not overlap with the geographic coverage areas of other of the base stations, and an interface connected to the base stations, a method of handoff a wireless communication with a mobile unit conducted via a first base station to a second base station comprising (Abstract and Fig. 1 and 8): detecting a handover trigger event during the mobile unit's wireless communication via the first base station (Step 216 Fig. 8, C27 L20-22); transmitting an omnidirectional sounding pulse from the mobile unit in response to a detected handover trigger (Step 218, C27 L23-24); communicating information related to the detected sounding pulse to the interface by each base station detecting the sounding pulse (C27 L24-29); selecting the second base station from the base stations that detected the sounding pulse based on the communicated information (C27 L29-39); and continuing the mobile unit's wireless communication via the selected second base station (C27 L40-48).
- 2. Regarding claim **59**, **Blakeney** teaches a communication network for wireless communication with mobile units comprising: a plurality of base stations (12, 14, 16, Fig. 1),

Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

each providing wireless communication services in a geographic coverage area that may or may not overlap with the geographic coverage areas of other of the base stations; at least one base station interface connected to the base stations such that each base station has a controlling interface associated with its base station to mobile unit wireless communications (controller 10, Figs. 1, C7 L47-53); each base station configured to detect sounding pulses emitted from mobile units in order to establish wireless communication with such mobile units for the handover of an on-going wireless communication between a mobile unit and another base station (C27 L24-29); each base station configured to communicate information related to a detected sounding pulse from a mobile unit to a selected interface (C27 L24-29); each interface, when acting as a controlling interface for a serving base station where a communication of a communicating mobile unit is conducted via the serving base station, configured to select a handover base station for continuing the wireless communication of the communicating mobile unit based on information communicated from each base station that detected a sounding pulse emitted from the communicating mobile unit during the communication with the serving base station (C27 L29-39, Abstract); and each base station configured to direct a communication beam when selected as the handover base station for a communicating mobile unit to continue the communicating mobile unit's wireless communication via the handover base station (C27 L40-48).

- 3. Regarding claim **66**, **Blakeney** further each mobile unit configured to transmit an omnidirectional sounding pulse to initiate handover from a serving base station to a handover base station (Blocks 200, 216, 230, Fig. 8).
- 4. Regarding claim 67, Blakeney's teach all the limitations of the method of claim 45.

 Blakeney further teaches the mobile units are each configured to monitor the power level of a directed communication beam from a base station that is received by the mobile unit and to

Art Unit: 2617

transmit an omnidirectional sounding pulse if the monitored power level falls below a predefined level (Step 216 and 218 of Fig. 8).

Claims 52, 56, 69, 71, 72, 74, 83 and 85 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Blakeney et al. (US Patent No. 5267261) in view of Velazquez et al. (US Patent No. 6,593,880).

- 5. Regarding **claim 52**, **Blakeney** teaches all the method of claim 48 but is silent about the UE having a selectively beamforming antenna and the step of determining a relative location of Node B and directs the beam toward the Node B to form a communication beam. In an analogous art, **Velazquez** teaches that the UE has a selectively beamforming antenna (col. 5, In 25-28) and the step of determining a relative location of the second Node B (col. 7 In. 55-60) with respect to the beamforming antenna of the mobile unit based on information related to the detected sounding pulse whereby the continuing of the UE's communication via the second Node B includes operating the mobile unit's antenna to form a communication beam toward the second Node B (Col. 6, In. 65 Col. 7 In 15, Col. 8, In 25-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply **Blakeney**'s the handover method in the UMTS system and **Velazquez**'s teaching of locating the UE and directing the beam toward the desired base station to reduce the system's interference as suggested by Velazquez (see Col. 5 In. 65- col. 7 In. 5).
- 6. Regarding **claim 56**, **Blakeney** teach all the limitations of the method of claim **45**. but is silent that the mobile unit is equipped with a global positioning system (GPS) and the transmitting of an omnidirectional sounding pulse includes transmitting of mobile unit location information associated with the sounding pulse transmitted by the mobile unit and/or includes transmitting of identification information associated with the sounding pulse transmitted the mobile unit. In an analogous art, **Velazquez** teaches that the UE has a

Art Unit: 2617

GPS (C8 L20-37). Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for to add Valazquez's GPS to Blakeney's handoff method to speed up the location positioning of the handset and thus to promote a faster handoff process.

- 7. Regarding claim **69**, it is an apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim number 56 previously addressed. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as in claim 56.
- 8. Regarding claim 71, Blakeney teaches a communication network for wireless communication comprising: a plurality of base stations (12, 14, 16, Fig. 1), each providing wireless communication services in a geographic coverage area that may or may not overlap with the geographic coverage areas of other of the base stations; mobile units, each configured to transmit an omnidirectional sounding pulse during a wireless communication via a serving base station (Block 200 and block 218, Fig. 8) upon the occurrence of a handover trigger event (block 216, Fig. 8) to initiate handover to continue the communication via a handover base station and to select the handover base station based on reception of information communicated from base stations responding to the sounding pulse within a predefined time period from its transmitting of an omnidirectional sounding pulse (C27 L20-60, Block 220 Fig. 8); each base station configured to detect sounding pulses emitted from mobile units in order to establishment wireless communication with such mobile units (C27 L24-29); each base station configured to communicate information related to a detected sounding pulse from a mobile unit to the mobile unit (C27 L24-29); and each base station configured to direct a communication link when selected as the handover base station for a communicating mobile unit to continue the communicating mobile unit's wireless communication via the handover base station (C27 L24-29). Although, Blakeney does not explicitly teach that the communication link is a beam. Velazquez teaches a handoff method in which the base station uses beamforming for

Art Unit: 2617

communication link (Col. 6, In. 65 - Col. 7 In 15, Col. 8, In 25-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply **Blakeney's** teaching of the handover method in the UMTS system and Velazquez's teaching of using beam forming to reduce the system's interference as suggested by Velazquez (see Col. 5 In. 65- col. 7 Ln5).

Page 6

- 9. Regarding claim **72**, it is a combination of limitations of claim 52 and 59. Therefore, claim 72 is rejected for the same subset of reasons as claims 52 and 59.
- 10. Regarding claim 74, Blakeney teaches a radio network having a plurality of base stations (12, 14, 16, Fig. 1), each providing wireless communication services in a respective geographic coverage area that may or may not overlap with the geographic coverage areas of other of the base stations, a method for handoff of a wireless communication conducted by a communicating mobile unit via a serving base station to a handover base station comprising (C27 and Abstract and Fig. 1 and 8): transmitting an omnidirectional sounding pulse from the communicating mobile unit during the wireless communication upon the occurrence of a triggering event (block 216, Fig. 8, C27 L20-24); directing a communication signal from base stations detecting the sounding pulse towards the mobile unit (C27 L24-29); selecting a handover base station from the base stations that detected the sounding pulse based on the communication signal received by the mobile unit (C27 L24-29); and continuing the wireless communication via the selected handover base station (C27 L40-48). Although, Blakeney does not explicitly teach that the communication signal is in a form of a beam. Velazquez teaches a handoff method in which the base station uses beamforming for communication link (Col. 6, In. 65 - Col. 7 In 15, Col. 8, In 25-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Blakeney's teaching of the handover method in

the UMTS system and Velazquez's teaching of using beam forming to reduce the system's interference as suggested by Velazquez (see Col. 5 In. 65- col. 7 In. 5).

- 11. Regarding claim **83**, **Blakeney** and **Velazquez** teach the mobile station that is used in the handoff method of claim 74. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 74. **Blakeney** further teaches a mobile unit has an inherent transmitter configured to transmit an omnidirectional (antenna, Fig. 2) sounding pulse and an inherent receiver (46, Fig. 2) configured to receive communication beams from base stations; and an inherent processor configured to select a handover base station (46, Fig. 2) via which the mobile unit is to continue the wireless communication based on communication beams received by the mobile unit from base stations that detected the pulse transmitted by the mobile unit (see claim 74 above).
- 12. Regarding claim **85**, it claims a mobile unit, which corresponds to the method claim 56 previously addressed. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as in previous claim 56.
- 13. Claims **86** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by **Blakeney et al.** (US Patent No. 5267261) in view of **Velazquez et al.** (US Patent No. 6,593,880) in view of **Anderson et al.** (US Patent No. 5396541).
- 14. Regarding claim **86**, **Blakeney** and **Velazquez** teach all the limitations of the method of claim 83 but does not teaches a mobile ID. In an analogous art, **Anderson** further teaches that the mobile unit is configured to transmit an omnidirectional sounding pulse that includes mobile unit identification information (the mobile responds to a poll message with its identification, Col. 12, lines 52-58). Therefore, one skill in the art would combine Blakeney and Velazquez's

Art Unit: 2617

teaching of handoff with Anderson's teaching of the mobile identification to make it easier to identify where the signal is coming from and thus facilitate the handoff process.

- 15. Claims 57-58, 68, 73, 84, 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blakeney et al. (US Patent No. 5267261) in view of Anderson et al. (US Patent No. 5396541).
- 16. Regarding claim 57, **Blakeney** teach all the limitations of the method of claim **45**. Blakeney does not explicitly teach that the transmitting of an omnidirectional sounding pulse includes transmitting a subsequent sounding pulse of increased power by the mobile unit if handover does not occur within a predefined time period from its transmitting of an omnidirectional sounding pulse. However, Anderson teaches a method of adjusting the power to a higher or lower level if the mobile is far or close from the base stations respectively (Col. 9, lines 50-15). In addition, it is also well known in the field of communications that after a failed transmission, one of ordinary skill in the art may use back-off algorithm to resend the signal in a predefined period of time. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine **Blakeney**'s handoff method and **Anderson**'s teaching of a increasing the signal power (if the mobile is far away from the base station) at a predefined period to increase the chance of a successful handoff.
- 17. Regarding claim **58**, **Blakeney** teaches all the limitations of the method of claim **45**. He fails to expressly teach that the transmitting of an omnidirectional sounding pulse includes transmitting a series of omnidirectional sounding pulses of increasing power from the mobile unit. However, Anderson teaches a method of adjusting the power to a higher or lower level if the mobile is far or close from the base stations respectively (Col. 9, lines 50-15).

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine **Blakeney**'s handoff method and **Anderson**'s teaching of retransmitting the signal with increasing power (assuming the mobile is far away from the base station) to increase the chance of a successful handoff.

- 18. Regarding claim **68**, it is an apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim number 13 previously addressed. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as in claim 57.
- 19. Regarding claim **73 and 84,** they are corresponding to the method claim number 57 previously addressed. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as in claim 57.
- 20. Regarding claim **87**, it claims a mobile unit, which corresponds to the method claim 57 previously addressed. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as in previous claim 57.
- 21. Claim 46, 47, 53-55, 60-61, 64-65, 70, 74, 75 and 88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blakeney et al. (US Patent No. 5267261) in view of **Keskitalo** (US Patent No. 5893033).
- 22. Regarding **claim 46**, **Blakeney** teaches all the limitations of the method of claim **45** but is not explicit that each base station has a selectively operable beamforming antenna that can determine the location of the mobile and steer the channels toward the mobile's location. In an analogous art, Keskitalo teaches that each base station has a selectively operable beamforming antenna, and further comprising: determining a relative location of the mobile unit with respect to the beamforming antennas of base stations neighboring the first base station (Col. 9, lines 41-45) and directing beacon channels of the neighboring base stations toward the mobile unit location to receive the transmitted sounding pulse (Col. 9, lines 63-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the

Application/Control Number: 10/667,633 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

handover method to include the step of determining the mobile's location and direct the channels toward the mobile's location to have a better signal quality.

- 23. Regarding **claim 47**, **Blakeney** teaches all the limitations of the method of claim **45** but is not explicit that each base station has a selectively operable beamforming antenna that can determine the location of the mobile and sweep beacon channels over an arc. In an analogous art, Keskitalo teaches a step of determining a relative location of the mobile unit with respect to the beamforming antennas of base stations neighboring the first base station (Col. 9, lines 41-45) and commanding the neighboring base stations to sweep beacon channels over an arc encompassing the mobile unit location to receive the transmitted sounding pulse (Col. 9, lines 48-49 and Col. 9, lines 63-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the handover method to include the step of determining the mobile's location and sweep the channels over an arc to search for the best signal components as taught by **Keskitalo** (Col. 9, 14-16).
- 24. Regarding claim **53**, it is a combination of claims 45 and 47. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claims 45 and 47.
- 25. Regarding claim **54**, **Blakeney** teaches all the limitations of the method of claim **45** but is not explicit that Node B is configured to operate its antenna to form a communication beam that carries common channels that encompasses the relative location of a plurality of UEs so that the formed beam provides common channel service to a plurality of UEs. Nonetheless, it is a practical design system to service a plurality of UEs rather than a single one to increase capacity of the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to service multiple UEs to maximize system capacity.

Art Unit: 2617

- Regarding claim **55**, **Blakeney** teaches all the limitations of the method of claim **45** but is not explicit that the mobile unit has a selectively operable beamforming antenna and transmitting an omnidirectional sounding pulse from the mobile unit is performed by transmitting multiple sounding pulses that sweep through 360 degrees or a set of calculated arcs. In an analogous art, **Keskitalo** teaches that a sweep of an antenna beam over a given area (Col. 9, lines 48-49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the handover method to include the step of determining the mobile's location and sweep the channels over an arc to search for the best signal components as taught by Keskitalo (Col. 9, 14-16).
- 27. Regarding **claims 60 and 61**, they are apparatus claims corresponding to the method claims number 45 and 47 respectively. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 45 and 47.
- Regarding claim **64**, **Blakeney** teaches all the limitations of the method of claim **45** but is not explicit that Node B is configured to operate its antenna to form a communication beam that carries common channels that encompasses the relative location of a plurality of UEs so that the formed beam provides common channel service to a plurality of UEs. Nonetheless, it is a practical design system to service a plurality of UEs rather than a single one to increase capacity of the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to service multiple UEs to maximize system capacity.
- 29. Regarding **claim 65**, it is an apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim number 45. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 45.
- 30. Regarding claim **70**, it is an apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim number 55. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 55.

Art Unit: 2617

- Regarding claim **74**, it is an apparatus claim corresponding to the combined method claims number 45 and 46. Therefore, it is rejected for the same set of reasons as claim 45 and 46.
- 32. Regarding claim **75**, it is an apparatus claim corresponding to another variation of the combined method claims 45 and 46. Therefore, it is rejected for the same set of reasons as claim 45 and 46.
- Regarding claim **88** it claims a mobile unit, which corresponds to the method claim 55 previously addressed. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 55.
- 34. Claim **48, 62** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Blakeney** et al. (US Patent No. 5267261) in view of **Bark et al.** (US Patent No. 6445917).
- 35. Regarding **claim 48**, **Blakeney** teaches all the limitations of the method of claim **45** but is not explicit that the radio network is a UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network (UTRAN), each base station is a Node B, the interface is a Radio Network Controller (RNC) and the mobile unit is a mobile User Equipment (UE); In an analogous art, **Bark** teaches a UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network (UTRAN) (**24**, see Figure 1A), each base station is a Node B (**28**), the interface is a Radio Network Controller (RNC) **26** and the mobile unit is a mobile User Equipment (3G terminology); the communicating information is between Node Bs and the RNC via an lub or combination lub/lur interface (Col. 5, lines 44-45, and 3G standards); the second base station selection is performed by the RNC by selecting a second Node B (col. 8, lines 50-55); and the UE's communication continued via the second Node B is via a Uu interface (inherent). UMTS is a system used in the

Art Unit: 2617

3G which is gaining increasing popularity. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the handover method to also establish this handover method in the UMTS system to keep the network system up-to-date with the current technology.

- 36. Regarding claim **62**, it is an apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim number 48. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 48.
- 37. Claims **49**, **50**, **63**, **76**, **78-82** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Blakeney et al.** (US Patent No. 5267261) and **Bark et al.** (US Patent No. 6445917) in view of **Keskitalo** (US Patent No. 5893033).
- 38. Regarding claim 49, Blakeney teaches all the limitations of the method of claim 48.

 However, they fail to teach that each Node B has a selectively operable beamforming antenna, further comprising: determining a relative location of the UE unit with respect to the beamforming antennas of Node Bs neighboring the first Node B and directing beacon channels of the neighboring Node Bs toward the UE location to receive the transmitted sounding pulse. In an analogous art, Keskitalo teaches a step of determining a relative location of the UE unit with respect to the beamforming antennas of Node Bs neighboring the first Node B and directing beacon channels of the neighboring Node Bs toward the UE location to receive the transmitted sounding pulse (Col. 9, lines 63-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the handover method to include the step of determining the mobile's location and direct the channels toward the mobile's location to have a better signal quality.

Art Unit: 2617

Page 14

- Regarding claim 50, Blakeney teaches all the limitations of the method of claim. 39. 48. However, they fail to teach that each Node B has a selectively operable beamforming antenna, further comprising: determining a relative location of the UE unit with respect to the beamforming antennas of Node Bs neighboring the first Node B and commanding the neighboring Node Bs to sweep beacon channels over an arc encompassing the mobile unit location to receive the transmitted sounding pulse. In an analogous art. Keskitalo teaches a that each Node B has a selectively operable beamforming antenna, further comprising: determining a relative location of the UE unit with respect to the beamforming antennas of Node Bs neighboring the first Node B and commanding the neighboring Node Bs to sweep beacon channels over an arc encompassing the mobile unit location to receive the transmitted sounding pulse (Col. 9, lines 48-49 and Col. 9, lines 63-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the handover method to sweep the channels over an arc to search for the best signal components (Keskitalo, Col. 9, lines 14-16) in the 3G environment to make the network more interface-able with other networks.
- 40. Regarding claim **63**, it is an apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim number 49. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 49.
- 41. Regarding claim **76**, it is a combination of method claims 45, 46, and 48. Therefore, it is rejected for the same set of reasons as claim 45, 46 and 48.

Art Unit: 2617

- 42. Regarding claim **78**, it is a combination of method claims 45 and 46. Therefore, it is rejected for the same set of reasons as claim 45 and 46 (See claims 45 and 46).
- Regarding claim **80**, **81 and 82**, they are method claims that correspond to previous method claims of 55-57. Therefore, they are rejected for the same of reasons as claim 55-57.
- Claim 51-52, 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blakeney et al. (US Patent No. 5267261) in view of Bark et al. (US Patent No. 6,445,917) further in view of Velazquez et al. (US Patent No. 6,593,880).
- Regarding claim 51, Blakeney and Bark teach all the limitations of the method of claim 48. However, the combination fails to specifically teach the step of determining a relative location of the UE and directs the beam toward the UE to encompass the UE's relative location. In an analogous art, Velazquez teaches a step of determining a relative location of the UE with respect to the beamforming antenna of the selected second Node B based on information related to the detected sounding pulse whereby the continuing of the UE's communication via the second Node B includes operating the selected Node B's antenna to form a communication beam for at least one dedicated channel covering a selected portion of the coverage area serviced by the second Node B that encompasses the determined relative location of the UE (Col. 7, In 25-68, Col. 8, In 25-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Blakeney and Bark's teaching of the handover method in

Application/Control Number: 10/667,633 Page 16

Art Unit: 2617

the UMTS system and Velazquez's teaching of locating the UE and directing the beam toward the UE to reduce the system's interference.

- Regarding claim 52, Blakeney and Bark teach all method of claim 48. The 46. combination also fails to specifically teach that the UE has a selectively beamforming antenna and the step of determining a relative location of Node B and directs the beam toward the Node B to form a communication beam. In analogous art, Velazquez teaches that the UE has a selectively beamforming antenna (col. 5, In 25-28) and the step of determining a relative location of the second Node B (col. 7 ln. 55-60) with respect to the beamforming antenna of the mobile unit based on information related to the detected sounding pulse whereby the continuing of the UE's communication via the second Node B includes operating the mobile unit's antenna to form a communication beam toward the second Node B (Col. 6, In. 65 - Col. 7 In 15, Col. 8, In 25-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Blakeney and Bark's teaching of the handover method in the UMTS system and Velazquez's teaching of locating the UE and directing the beam toward the desired base station to reduce the system's interference as suggested by Velazquez (see Col. 5 In. 65- col. 7 In. 5).
- Regarding claim **77**, **Blakeney and Bark** teach all the limitations of a network of claim 76. However, The combination also fails to specifically teach the step of determining a relative location of the UE and directs the beam toward the UE to

Art Unit: 2617

encompass the UE's relative location. In an analogous art, Velazquez teaches a determining a relative location of the UE with respect to the beamforming antenna of each sounding pulse detecting Node B based on information related to the detected sounding pulse whereby the directing of a communication beam includes operating the respective Node Bs' antennas to form communication beams that each cover a selected portion of the coverage area serviced by the respective Node B that encompasses the relative location of the UE (Col. 7, In 25-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Blakeney and Bark's teaching of the handover method in the UMTS system and Velazquez's teaching of locating the UE and directing the beam toward the UE to reduce the system's interference.

- 48. Claims 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farwell et al. (US Patent No. 5396541) in view of Tarallo (US Patent No. 5054035).
- 49. Regarding claim 45, Farwell teaches in a radio network having a plurality of base stations (BSs 102-104, Fig. 1), each providing wireless communication services for mobile units in a respective geographic coverage area that may or may not overlap with the geographic coverage areas of other of the base stations, and an interface connected to the base stations (101, Fig. 2), a method of handoff a wireless communication with a mobile unit conducted via a first base station to a second base station comprising: detecting a handover trigger event during the mobile unit's wireless communication via the first base station (C3 L37-43); transmitting an omnidirectional sounding pulse from the mobile unit in response to a detected handover trigger (MS sends synchronization pattern to BSs in response to handoff trigger); sending detected

Application/Control Number: 10/667,633 Page 18

Art Unit: 2617

signal strengths from base stations. Although Farwell does not explicitly teach that the detected signal strength is related to the synchronization pattern, **Tarallo** teaches that the synchronizations patterns are used to derive the signal quality in handoff process (Abstract). Therefore, one skill in the art at the time of the invention would combine Farwell's handover method with Tarallo's explicit teaching of deriving the signal strengths from the synchronization pattern to obtain the signal measurement quickly. Thus, in view of Tarallo's clarified invention, Farwell further teaches the step of communicating information related to the detected sounding pulse to the interface by each base station detecting the sounding pulse (sending back signal strength based on synchronization pattern, C3 L60-64); selecting the second base station from the base stations that detected the sounding pulse based on the communicated information (C3 L65- C4 L2); and continuing the mobile unit's wireless communication via the selected second base station (C4 L2-5).

50. Claim 59, 71 and 83 are similar to claim 45. Therefore, they are rejected for similar reasons as claim 45.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 45-88 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2617

Page 19

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-6497. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 9 - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-6497.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DL

LESTER G. KINCAID SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER